## **HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.**

**500 SOUTH GRAND AVENUE SUITE 1900** LOS ANGELES, CA 90071

> Tel.: (213) 337-6700 Fax: (213) 337-6701

## IMPORTANT NOTICE

## TELECOPY/FACSIMILE COVER LETTER

WASHINGTON, DC BRUSSELS **BUDAPEST\*** LONDON MOSCOW PARIS\* PRAGUE\* TOKYO WARSAW BALTIMORE, MD BOULDER, CO COLORADO SPRINGS, CO DENVER, CO IRVINE, CA McLEAN, VA MIAMI, FL NEW YORK, NY (PARK AVE) NEW YORK, NY (THIRD AVE) ROCKVILLE, MD

"Affiliated Office

TQ:	U.S. Patent and Trademark	Office	DATE: _	November 6, 2003
	Examiner: Karen A. Lacou Art Unit: 1635	ırciere		
FROM:	Barry M. Shuman		TIME: _	
TOTAL	NO. OF PAGES, INCLUDING	COVER:	3	
communicati U.S. Mail.  IESSAGE:	that any dissemination, distribution of on in error should notify us immediate the control of th	ely by telephone and return	the original message to us	at the above address via the
	hify that the following document:	920,342, Attorney Ref. 6	3186.0022	
- Response to	Restriction Requirement			
	nile transmitted to the Commission A 22313-1450, for filing in the abo		1450,	
	November 6, 2003 Date of Deposit	Diane Zyin	fara	
ጥምነ ሴ	COPY/FAX NUMBER:	703-872-9306		RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTE
	CLIENT NUMBER:	89188.0022		NOV 0 6 2003
ATTORNE	Y BILLING NUMBER:	6085		

(703) 308-7523 (please return fax to Diane Zynn)

CONFIRMATION NUMBER:

Patent Application No. 09/920,342 Attorney Docket No. 13761-0754 (89188.0022)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Shi-Lung Lin et al.

Serial No: 09/920,342

Filed: August 1, 2001

For: GENE SILENCING USING MRNA-CDNA

**HYBRIDS** 

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Mail Stop Non-Fee Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Art Unit: 1635

Examiner: Karen A. Lacourciere

I hereby cartify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to

(703) 872-9306:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

November 6, 2003
Date of Deposit
Diane Zynn

Nome
1 onl
Signature
Date

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated October 8, 2003, Applicant elects for prosecution the claims of Group III, claims 32-45. In addition, Applicant provisionally elects the  $\beta$ -catenin species for prosecution on the merits. Claims 32-36 and 38-45 are readable on the elected species. Finally, Applicant notes that the election of the  $\beta$ -catenin species is with traverse.

The Examiner has required a restriction to a single species of target gene selected from  $\beta$ -catenin or bcl-2. This restriction requirement is traversed. Applicant believes that the restriction requirement does not comply with MPEP §803, because there would not exist a "a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required." The guidelines to MPEP §803 indicate that the *prima facie* of a serious burden may be shown by separate classification, or separate status in the art, or a different field of search. The Examiner failed to make such a showing.

Accordingly, the Examiner is respectfully requested to examine both  $\beta$ -catenin and bcl-2 target genes.

OFFICIAL RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-1314.

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSON L.L.P.

Date: November 6, 2003

Registration No. 50,220

500 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1900

Los Angeles, California 90071

Phone: 213-337-6700 Fax: 213-337-6701